## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Clear Form

Henry Meza, et al.	
Dlointiff(a)	CASE NO. C-06-03745 JF (HRL)
Plaintiff(s),	
v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
City of Gonzales, et al.	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Defendant(s).	/
Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:	
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)  (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)  Private Process:  Private ADR (please identify process and provider)	
	ne (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: 08/28/06	/s/ William F. Schauman
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 08/28/06	/s/ Susan K. Blitch
Dutod. 30/20/00	Attorney for Defendant

## Case 5:06-cv-03745-JF Document 9 Filed 08/31/06 Page 2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE